

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/066,057	10/066,057 01/31/2002		Michael B. Zemel	UTR-104D1	8306
26694	7590	06/01/2005		EXAM	INER
VENABL P.O. BOX				WEBMAN, EDWARD J	
		20045-9998		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1616	

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/066,057	ZEMEL ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Edward J. Webman	1616			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION on sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, at period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirt riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 March 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)[						
	closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,4-6 and 27-60 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1, 4-6, 27-60 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.				
10)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to					
11)□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor The oath or declaration is objected to by the					
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum  2. Certified copies of the priority docum  3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
2)  Notic	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE or No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Art Unit: 1616

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 5, 6, 28, 47-53 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hinz.

Hinz teaches calcium for the treatment of obesity (abstract). A generic multiple vitamin is disclosed (column 8 line 25). Daily doses of 50-2000 mg are disclosed (claim 7). Applicants cite column 4 lines 24-27 as showing that Hinz teaches calcium to assist in avoiding nutritional efficiency. However, line 27 concludes with "and effecting weight loss," indicating that Hinz contemplated a direct effect by calcium on weight reduction.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4-6, 27-49, 54-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hinz.

Hinz is described above. Hinz does not teach the various claimed natural and manufactured products.

Art Unit: 1616

As to the above cited food vehicles, the examiner takes notice under MPEP 2144.03 that the claimed items such as spinach are well known, even to the layman, to be sources of calcium in the diet or items that may be fortified with such. Thus, it would be an obvious expedient, even to the layman, to substitute a food source for the multivitamin disclosed in Hinz, for the beneficial effect of the additional nutrients therein. As to the claimed restriction of caloric intake, that would also be obvious to the layman as an enhancement to the method of Hinz to achieve an additional or more rapid loss of weight.

Applicants provide evidence as to commercial success, in particular, a list of retail chains involved in promoting the claimed method, illustrations of packaging and advertisements demonstrating the promotions, and testimonials from professional associations. However, the nexus connecting this evidence and applicants' claimed invention is unclear. Further, commercial success may be a result of marketing rather than the non-obviousness of the claimed method.

Claim 57 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Nowhere in the specification, in particular the cited Table 4, is the figure of 102 servings disclosed. (See below.) This is a new matter rejection.

Art Unit: 1616

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In table 4, the number "1346" in column 2 row 4 appears to be incorrect. It duplicates the number in column 1 row 4. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 51 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the disclosed invention is inoperative and therefore lacks utility. Nowhere in the specification do applicants provide evidence that the claimed method can prevent weight gain. Further, no parameters against which the claimed effect of prevention can be tested, such as the length of time that the effect is manifest after treatment, are provided. That is, applicants do not provide a definition as to what they intend by the term "preventing". One of ordinary skill in the art would not find prevention of weight gain by the claimed method believable on its face because weight loss is deemed a complex process.

Claim 51 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. In view of the rejection over utility above, wherein the claimed method is inoperable, the claimed method necessariy lacks enablement.

No claims allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 1616

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Webman whose telephone number is 571-272-0633. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, G. Kunz, can be reached on 571-272-0887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 1616

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EDWARD J. WEBMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500